

defendant did not appear upon himself in manner of form as the plaintiff  
against him hath complain'd Therefore it is consider'd by the court that  
the plaintiff take nothing by his bill but for his false claim he hence  
deserves without day & that the S<sup>t</sup> defendant recover against him his costs by him  
about his defence in this behalf expended.

Ats<sup>t</sup> Benj<sup>t</sup> Blunt pres<sup>t</sup> Tho<sup>t</sup> Dunn.

Joseph & Wm Scott pliffs  
against

In Case

John Simmons son of Ch<sup>r</sup>l<sup>s</sup> Simmons def<sup>t</sup>

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney  
and also a jury to witness Eas<sup>t</sup> Fisher Josephus Scott Geo. Quiley & Sam'l Francis.  
Ch<sup>r</sup>l<sup>s</sup> Brantley Is<sup>t</sup> Barnham Nath'l Rochelle J<sup>r</sup> Kirby Wm Gray Wm Harris James  
Morgan & Daniel Porter who being sworn to enquire what damage the plaintiff  
have sustained by their occasion in the declaration mentioned upon their oath do  
say that the plaintiff have sustain'd damage by that occasion to seven pounds  
eight shillings and ten pence besides his costs Therefore it is consider'd by the court  
that the plaintiff recover against the S<sup>t</sup> defendant their damage aforesaid in form  
aforesaid & their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended t<sup>h</sup>is d<sup>r</sup>ift  
in may y<sup>r</sup>

Joseph Scott pliff  
against

In Case

John Simmons son of Ch<sup>r</sup>l<sup>s</sup> Simmons def<sup>t</sup>

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and also a jury to witness Eas<sup>t</sup> Fisher  
Josephus Scott Geo. Quiley & Sam'l Francis Ch<sup>r</sup>l<sup>s</sup> Brantley Is<sup>t</sup> Barnham Nath'l  
Rochelle John Kirby Wm Gray Wm Harris James Morgan & Daniel Porter who being  
sworn to enquire what damage the plaintiff hath sustain'd by the occasion in the  
declaration mentioned upon their oath do say that the plaintiff hath sustain'd  
damage by that occasion to six pounds five shillings besides his costs Therefore  
it is consider'd by the court that the plaintiff recover against the S<sup>t</sup> defendant  
his damage aforesaid in form aforesaid & paid his costs by him about his suit in this  
behalf expended the S<sup>t</sup> defendant in may y<sup>r</sup>

John Francis having obtained an attachment against the estate of Frederick Francis  
who hath privately removed himself or so absconds that the ordinary process of the law  
cannot be served upon him (for a debt due from the S<sup>t</sup> Frederick to the S<sup>t</sup> John) This  
day came the plaintiff by his attorney & the sheriff making return that he had levied  
the S<sup>t</sup> attachment on the hands of James Harris one of the deputy sheriffs who  
had the sum of £91 16<sup>t</sup> 6<sup>s</sup> remaining after satisfaction of a judgment obtained  
by Sterling Francis against that said defendant & the said defendant not appearing  
to apply the S<sup>t</sup> attachment property Therefore on the motion of the plaintiff who proved  
his demand to be just it is consider'd by the court that the plaintiff recover against  
the S<sup>t</sup> defendant Thirty one pounds eighteen shillings & six pence of his cost by him  
in this behalf expended And it is order'd that the S<sup>t</sup> James Harris pay to the S<sup>t</sup>  
plaintiff the money remaining on his hands towards satisfaction of his judgment &  
I make report to the court

Jeremiah Taylor Jr In Case

Ch<sup>r</sup>l<sup>s</sup> Tayler Jr  
Ch<sup>r</sup>l<sup>s</sup> Tayler Jr  
Jeremiah Taylor Jr

These costs being agreed by the parties are  
discharged on the motion of each plaintiff